FILED

12/09/2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

Case Number: AF 09-0688

Clerk of The MT Supreme Court Po Bex 203003 Helena, MIT 59420-3003

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith

LERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

STATE OF MONTANA

Becember 5,2014

RE: Professional Rules of Conduct, Rule 8.4(g)

Honorable Members of the Court,

an asking that you reject ruling 8.4 (g) of the Professional Rules of Conduct for Montana attorneys. This ruling is a government over reach which is a trespassing on religious liberties established + protected by our Constitution.

Thank you for allowing public Comment.

Sincerely,

Jenny Gondeio Jenny L. Scendar

Bux 204 Raynestord, MT 59469

Clerk of the Supreme Court P.O. Box 203003 Helena, MT 59620-3003

12-7-2016

Re: Professional Rules of Conduct Rule 8.4(g)

Honerable members of the court, you have called for Public comment of the proposed new rule 8.4(g) of the professional rules of conduct for Montana attorneys. As a concerned citizen I hereby submit my request that you reject this rule for the following reason. The first amendment to the constitution upholds a person's right to religious beliefs and freedom of speech. An attorney should have the same rights as any person in the United States. If this rule were passed another freedom of this country will be lost. I feel that the rule 8.4(g) should be rejected.

Robert McRae

Robert on Rac PO Box 2201

Cut Bank, MT 59427



DEC 09 2016

Clerk of the Montana Supreme Court P.O. Box 203003 Helena, MT 59620-3003

Re: Professional Rules of Conduct, Rule 8.4(g)

Honorable Members of the Court;

You have called for public comment of the proposed new Rule 8.4(q) of the Professional Rules of Conduct for Montana Attorneys. As a concerned citizen, I hereby submit my request that you reject this rule for the following reasons. Here in Montana we honor all of the Constitution; inclusive of Consider religious freedom, government overreach, freedom of speech and freedom of speech.

Leolie J. Arenameyer

Chotesa not 59422

Lurol Muensmayer

p. O. Box 153

Rhotean, MI

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Re: Professional Rules Com-duct, Rule 8,4(9) Honorable Member of the Court, You have called for gublic Comment of the proposed new Rule 8.4 (9) of the Professionel Rules of Conduct for montan attorneys. as as concerned citizen & levely submit my request that you re-Jest the rule for FIFTING reasons.

DEC 09 2016

I believe in reterk OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANACUE COM Ed Smith and freedom of speech. Ekanl

you.

Bonne M. Millar By 1501

malla, nit.

59538

Re: Professional Rules Con-duct, Rule 8.4(9) Honorable Member of the Court, You have called for gublic Comment of the proposed new Rule 8.4 (9) of the Professionel Rules of Conduct for montan attorneys. Us as concerned citizen & hereby submit my request that you refect the sub for FIEDing

Masons.

DEC 09 2016

Delien in retignation of speech. Charl

Bonne m. Mille Boy 1501 Melle, mit

December 5, 2016

FILED

Clerk of Montana Supreme Court PO Box 203003 Helena, MT 59620-3003

Re: Professional Rules of Conduct- Rule 8.4

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
LERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

Honorable Members of the Court,

We understand that your order in case number AF 09-0688 (October 26, 2016) calls for public comment on the proposed new Rule 8.4(g) of the Professional Rules of Conduct for Montana Attorneys. As private citizens in Montana we find that the proposal is very disturbing!

This is a threat to religious freedom and to freedom of speech. The fact that this is even being considered is disturbing. These rights are at the very core foundation of our country.

To pass this is like saying there is a certain portion of our population that does not deserve to have legal counsel or representation. EVERY person or business in the United States deserves to have the best representation they can afford.

This law does not take into account the religious freedom of the attorney. Besides, many attorneys represent clients with whom they do not agree. They still represent them to the best of their ability. Their hands would be tied in representing a person or business of faith.

There are many points of view with which we disagree, however, we believe they are still entitled to say what they would like to and be represented by legal counsel regardless of the offense. If one group is limited to free speech it won't be long before it would be another and another.

On the above rationale, we urge the court to NOT adopt the proposed change to Rule 8.4 of the Professional Rules of Conduct.

Singerely

Vicki Miller

123 Rolling Meadow Drive

Billings, MT 59101

Sincerely,
ES Millor

Ed Miller

225 Cactus Road Belgrade, MT 59714 December 6, 2016

Clerk of the Montana Supreme Court P.O. Box 203003 Helena, MT 59620-3003

RE: Professional Rules of Conduct, Rule 8.4 (g)

Honorable Members of the Court,

You have called for public comment of the proposed new Rule 8.4 (g) on the Professional Rules of Conduct for Montana Attorneys. As a concerned citizen, I hereby submit my request that you reject this rule for the following reasons: This rule would be a serious discrimination against religious freedom and would be a demonstration of government overreach. It also is a violation of our freedom of speech.

Sincerely,
Bithil S. Halladay

Bethel S. Halladay

FILED

DEC 09 2016

December 6, 2016

Re: Professional Rules of Conduct, Rule 8.4(g)

Honorable Members of the Court.

You have called for public comment of the proposed new Rule 8.4(g) of the Professional Rules of Conduct for Montana Attorneys. As a concerned citizen, I hereby submit my request that you reject this rule. This rule would take away from religious freedom. This rule would involve government overreach. This rule does not allow for freedom of speech. Religious freedom and freedom of speech are included in the Constitution which is the Law of our land. Also, government is to serve the people, not to take away rights that are provided for us in the Constitution. Thank you.

Respectfully,

Nonne P. Brown
Yvonne Brown

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith LERK OF THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF MONTANA

y. Brown 7801 Rd. 2039 Wolf Point, MT 59201

12-4-2016

Re: Professional Rules of Conduct, Rule 84 (g)

Honorable Members of the Court,

Having called for public comment on the proposed new rule, I would like to enter my plea that you reject this rule because of its gross negligence of freedom of speech, not to mention excessive government overreach into religious freedom.

A lawyer no matter what his private or public thoughts and convictions are, has a right to their opinions without being punished into submission by an overbearing, overreaching government.

Respectfully

Paula J Wesley

Loula Weren Dr. Silver, Mt. 39923

FILED

DEC 09 2016

DRIGINAL Bannie Clossol Glodes Klundt White Fish, Mt. FILED DEC 09 2016 Ed Smith CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF MONTANA Dec 6,2016 Vorke of the Mt Supremo Court P. Pox 203003 Felove, Mt 59620-30e3 Honorabo members of the Court. New Rule 8,45 of the sursessment Rules
of Conduct to 1/1t Afformer religion
of Conduct to 1/1t Afformer religion
the speech that this Country
there speech that this Country
there is not right te fore people to be givet so Manda their sedied in-Please lister Josho Reeple, Loune (Vossen Gladys Klundt Hauk Son. Julyrd, Classin P.S. Who Can't we Coll 1112 Sant we Auswor

December 6, 2016

to: Clerk of Montana Supreme Court Box 203003 Helena, MT 59620-3003

from: Pat Plowman PO Box 173 Boyd, MT 59013

subject: Professional Rules of Conduct-Rule 8.4

Honorable Members of the Court,

In your order of October 26, 2016, regarding case number AF 09-0688 you have called for public comment on the proposed new Rule 8.4 (g) of the Professional Rules of Conduct for Montana Attorneys. Thank you.

That being said, you certainly must realize you are under legal and moral obligation to preserve and protect the Consitution. Please, on the basis of *what* are you proposing to make lawyers special cases NOT covered by the First Amendment or the Montana Constitution's protections? I object to the proposed new rule in the strongest terms, and I leave to your imagination to fill in the blanks.

I read Pastor Forke's letter and I concur 100% with all of his objections. In my email letter, sent yesterday, I quoted his letter in its entirety. Just a look at his point titles should be enough to stop this rule: 1. A Threat to Freedom of Speech, 2. A Threat to Religious Freedom, 3. A Threat to the Purpose of the Court, 4. A Threat of Class Warfare.

Please, Montana judges, you are in danger of walking where angels fear to tread.

Sincerely,

Pat Plowman

FILED

DEC 09 2016

225 Cactus Road Belgrade, MT 59714 December 6, 2016

Clerk of the Montana Supreme Court P.O. Box 203003 Helena, MT 59620-3003

RE: Professional Rules of Conduct, Rule 8.4 (g)

Honorable Members of the Court,

You have called for public comment of the proposed new Rule 8.4 (g) on the Professional Rules of Conduct for Montana Attorneys. As a concerned citizen, I hereby submit my request that you reject this rule for the following reasons: This rule would be a blatant discrimination against religious freedom and would be a demonstration of government overreach. It also is a violation of our freedom of speech.

Orlynn J. Halladay

Orlynn J. Halladay

FILED

DEC 09 2016